



Fitch Affirms Renault at 'BBB'; Outlook Stable

Fitch Ratings - Paris - 23 November 2018: Fitch Ratings has affirmed Renault SA's (Renault) Long-Term Issuer Default Rating (IDR) at 'BBB'. The Outlook is Stable.

The rating action reflects our projections that Renault's key credit metrics will remain commensurate with the ratings in the foreseeable future despite our expectation for moderate erosion in profitability and cash generation. We do not expect any direct and immediate impact on Renault's ratings from the potential departure of Carlos Ghosn as CEO of Renault and his dismissal as Chairman of Nissan but it could reshape the relationship between the two entities and derail plans for a merger. This could ultimately put pressure on Renault's ratings if the alliance is dissolved or its structure fundamentally altered to the disadvantage of Renault, including lower dividends and synergies.

RATING ACTIONS

ENTITY/DEBT	RATING	PRIOR
Renault SA	LT IDR BBB ● Affirmed	BBB ●
senior unsecured	LT BBB Affirmed	BBB

KEY RATING DRIVERS

Resilient albeit Eroding Profitability: We forecast profitability to come under moderate pressure in the short-term from increased pricing competition and unfavourable foreign exchange and raw materials price developments but for group operating margin, including Avtovaz to remain above 6% through to 2021. The operating margin improved further to 6.6% in 2017, from just over 5% in 2015 and less than 2% in 2012. We also expect further operational measures to support earnings.

Weakening but Positive Cash Flows: We project free cash flow (FCF) to remain positive at around 0.5%-1.5% through to 2021, but expect it to remain constrained by investment and sustained dividend payments. FCF remained consistently positive between 2009 and 2016 at between 1.5% and 2.5% but declined to below 1% in 2017 from higher cash tax and increased dividends and capex.

Strong Credit Metrics: We expect funds from operations (FFO) adjusted net leverage to remain around 0.5x in the next two to three years despite lower FCF in 2019-2021 compared with recent years. Net financial debt has fallen substantially since 2009 as a result of positive FCF and asset sales, while earnings and FFO rebounded in the same period. FFO adjusted net leverage has declined continuously since end-2009, from more than 5.5x, to 0.7x at end-2017, providing the group with more flexibility to weather the sector's next cyclical downturn.

Departure of CEO Ghosn: We expect investigations into Carlos Ghosn in Japan related to his previous role as CEO of Nissan to accelerate his replacement as CEO of Renault. Renault's board has reacted swiftly to the news emanating from Japan and put in place interim governance measures to ensure the continuity of the group's business. Carlos Ghosn has been the architect of the alliance

between Renault and Nissan and a pivotal supporter of its sustainability in its current form. Therefore, his departure as Chairman of Nissan and CEO of Renault could jeopardise any plan to merge the two companies in the next two to three years and lead to a redefined shareholding structure. We believe that it remains in the interest of all partners to continue working together and maintain the alliance's structure but probably under a different form and rebalanced relations.

Benefits from Alliance: While Renault's scale remains modest on a standalone basis, compared with large international peers such as Volkswagen, Toyota and Ford, it can derive substantial synergies from its alliance with Nissan. Furthermore, the addition of Mitsubishi to the alliance will provide further opportunities to share various costs including manufacturing, purchasing and R&D. This is an important advantage in the context of new powertrains and autonomous driving technologies. In addition, dividends received from associates exposed to other markets where Renault is not present provide a diversified source of cash.

Well-placed on Powertrain Developments: We believe that Renault is favourably positioned with regards to emissions targets and the shift to electric powertrain. The Renault-Nissan alliance's decision to invest early in electric vehicles (EVs) is providing the group with a competitive advantage from their multi-year experience in manufacturing EVs and managing the overall supply chain and after-sale service. Renault's higher share in EVs is also helping the group to reach 2020-2021 CO2 emissions targets in Europe.

European Volume Manufacturer: The group derives the majority of its revenue from the less profitable mass-market small- and medium-sized car segments, where competition is fiercest and price pressure is strongest. Renault's sales also retain a bias towards Europe. However, the success of the entry range has been pivotal in compensating for the sales decline of the core Renault models and bolstering group profitability and geographical diversification. We also expect the latter to increase as international markets should outperform Europe, leading the group to derive around 50% of its revenue from outside Europe in the medium-term.

DERIVATION SUMMARY

Renault compares well with global automotive manufacturers at the 'BBB' level. On a standalone basis, Renault is somewhat smaller than General Motors Company (BBB/Stable), Ford Motor Company (BBB/Stable) and Hyundai Motor Company (BBB+/Stable), but Renault's alliance with Nissan Motor Co., Ltd. (recently extended to Mitsubishi Motors) provides it with substantial economies of scale and synergies.

Renault's brand positioning (combining brand value and market share in our Rating Navigator for Automotive Manufacturers) is slightly weaker than US peers'. However, we believe Renault's relative position should incorporate Dacia and Renault, the former not having a high brand value and leading market shares, but enhancing product and geographic diversification as well as healthily contributing to profitability. Compared with Hyundai and Kia Motors Corporation (BBB+/Stable), we see a much closer comparison in terms of competitive position and brand positioning.

Renault's financial profile is broadly comparable with similarly-rated international manufacturers. Its automotive operating and FCF margins are lower than GM's but broadly in line with Ford's, Nissan's and Hyundai's; net leverage is higher than Nissan's and Hyundai's but broadly similar to GM's and Ford's. No country-ceiling, parent/subsidiary or operating environment aspects impact the rating.

KEY ASSUMPTIONS

Fitch's key assumptions within our rating case for the issuer include:

- Industrial operations revenue declining by 2%-3% in 2018, and recovering moderately to low- to mid-single digits in 2019-2021;
- Automotive operating margin (including Avtovaz) declining to just above 4% at end- 2019 and

- recovering to above 4.5% in 2021. Group margin remaining between 6% and 6.5% through to 2021;
- Capex on average at around 6.5% of industrial sales between 2018 and 2021; and
 - Dividend payment to remain at around EUR1 billion between 2018 and 2021.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Future Developments That May, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Positive Rating Action

- Group operating margin remaining above 5% on a sustained basis (2017: 6.6%).
- FCF margin comfortably above 2% on a sustained basis (2017: 0.6%).
- Cash flow from operations (CFO)/lease-adjusted debt above 60% (2017: 33%).
- FFO adjusted net leverage below 0.5x (2017: 0.7x).

Future Developments That May, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Negative Rating Action

- Group operating margin falling below 3% on a sustained basis.
- FCF margin below 1% on a sustained basis.
- CFO/lease adjusted debt below 30%.
- FFO adjusted net leverage increasing above 1.5x.
- Material negative changes to the benefits derived from the alliance with Nissan.

LIQUIDITY AND DEBT STRUCTURE

Healthy Liquidity: Liquidity is ample, including EUR11.4 billion of readily available cash and liquid investments for industrial operations at end-2017, according to Fitch's adjustments for minimum operational cash of about EUR1.4 billion and not readily available financial assets. In addition, committed credit lines of EUR3.4 billion at the automotive division and EUR4.9 billion at the sales financing segment, were undrawn and EUR23 million drawn at end-2017, respectively. Renault is maintaining a prudent financial policy including a reported net cash position and liquidity reserves of at least 20% of revenue.

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

FITCH RATINGS ANALYSTS

Primary Rating Analyst

Emmanuel Bulle

Senior Director

+34 93 323 8411

Fitch Ratings Espana. S.A.U.

Av. Diagonal 601

Barcelona 08028

Secondary Rating Analyst

Aurelien Jacquot

Associate Director

+33 1 44 29 91 37

Committee Chairperson

Paul Lund

Senior Director

+44 20 3530 1244

MEDIA CONTACTS

Adrian Simpson

London

+44 20 3530 1010

adrian.simpson@thefitchgroup.com

Applicable Criteria

Corporate Rating Criteria (pub. 23 Mar 2018) (</site/re/10023785>)

Corporates Notching and Recovery Ratings Criteria (pub. 23 Mar 2018) (</site/re/10024585>)

Additional Disclosures

Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form (</site/dodd-frank-disclosure/10053009>)

Solicitation Status

Endorsement Policy (</site/regulatory>)

DISCLAIMER

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK: <HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS> (<HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS>). IN ADDITION, RATING DEFINITIONS AND THE TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS ARE AVAILABLE ON THE AGENCY'S PUBLIC WEB SITE AT <WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM> (<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/home>). PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE AVAILABLE AT <HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/SITE/REGULATORY> (<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>). FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH WEBSITE.

COPYRIGHT

Copyright © 2018 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current

facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001

Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory> (<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>)), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

SOLICITATION STATUS

The ratings above were solicited and assigned or maintained at the request of the rated entity/issuer or a related third party. Any exceptions follow below.

Endorsement Policy

Fitch's approach to ratings endorsement so that ratings produced outside the EU may be used by regulated entities within the EU for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU Regulation with respect to credit rating agencies, can be found on the EU Regulatory Disclosures (<https://www.fitchratings.com/regulatory>) page. The endorsement status of all International ratings is

provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for all structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.

Fitch Updates Terms of Use & Privacy Policy

We have updated our Terms of Use and Privacy Policies which cover all of Fitch Group's websites. Learn more (<https://www.thefitchgroup.com/site/policies>).