



Fitch Downgrades Renault to 'BB+'; Outlook Negative

Fitch Ratings - Barcelona - 27 March 2020:

Fitch Ratings has downgraded Renault SA's Long-Term Issuer Default Rating (IDR) and senior unsecured rating to 'BB+' from 'BBB-'. The Outlook on the IDR is Negative.

The downgrade reflects our view that the COVID-19 pandemic will weigh on Renault's earnings and cash flow in 2020 and materially hinder or delay the recovery in the group's credit metrics assumed in our February 2020 review. Fitch's current base case includes a significant global economic downturn through 2Q20 that will significantly affect new vehicle sales in 1H20. We expect a rebound in sales over 2H20 and into 2021 but to a lower level than previously assumed.

The Negative Outlook reflects the risk of further deterioration in Renault's credit profile if confinement measures and production stoppages related to COVID-19 are prolonged, further stressing Renault's operations and liquidity. The Negative Outlook also reflects the risk of a protracted weakened economic environment and its potential effect on new vehicle sales, particularly in Europe. A weaker-than-expected rebound in sales further impairing Renault's financial performance could lead to another downgrade.

Key Rating Drivers

Delayed Recovery: The pandemic is compounding Renault's challenges that first surfaced in 2019. Our previous rating case assumed a gradual improvement of the financial profile by end-2022, including recovery of free cash flow (FCF) to positive territory, operating margin to 5% from a trough of just above 3% in 2020 and funds from operations (FFO)-adjusted net leverage to below 1.5x from 1.7x in 2020. Our current base case incorporates weaker operating and FCF margins and higher leverage in 2020-2022.

Fall in Revenue: We expect car sales to plummet in Europe in 1H20 as a result of extended confinement measures in the largest automotive markets, further intensifying existing sales pressures due to the sector's cyclical downturn that started before the outbreak. Emergency measures and lockdown decisions in several countries have led to a sharp decline in dealership visits and widespread postponements of new vehicle purchases, bringing new vehicle sales to a halt for several weeks.

European Sales Hardest-hit: Renault derives about half of its unit sales and about two thirds of its revenue from Europe. We estimate that an 80% yoy sales decline in Europe could represent for the group more than EUR500 million in lost revenue per week. Other regions where Renault is active have so far been less affected by the outbreak, except for South Korea, but will also record lower sales. Renault does not have operations in the US and its presence in China is not material.

Profitability Hit: The fall in revenue will strongly impair fixed cost absorption and have a material effect on operating margins at least in 1H20. We believe that Renault's industrial operating margin could become negative in 2020, down from 2.7% in 2019 and 4.4% in 2018 but the magnitude of the impact will depend on the duration and extent of the production stoppages and sales decline. Profitability recovery will depend on the success of the ongoing cost-saving initiatives and restructuring measures to be announced later in the year.

Working Capital Absorption: The automotive industry typically displays negative working capital, including shorter-term receivables than payables. A sudden fall in sales causes a rapid decline in trade receivables

while payables are still due for a further two-to-three months. Factory shutdowns across Europe will curb inventory pile-up and shrink receivables but we expect a significant cash outflow from working capital developments in the next couple of months from sharply lower payables.

Working Capital Release Uncertain: We expect a recovery in sales to trigger a symmetric inflow of working capital, similar to what happened in 2009, but the timeline is hard to predict at this point and could extend into 2021. It will depend on upcoming demand and potential government decisions to spur demand and steer financial relationships between various companies in the supply chain.

Further Pressure on FCF: Our previous assumption of negative FCF in 2020 is compounded by the risk of worse-than-expected funds from operations (FFO) in 1H20. Working capital developments will be pivotal to FCF but are difficult to project. We also forecast a cut in capex and dividends to preserve cash but expect investments to remain driven by the need to meet stringent emission regulation. Furthermore, we expect a cut in dividends up-streamed from Nissan for longer than previously forecast as Nissan also faces significant pressure on its financial profile.

Upcoming Restructuring Measures: Renault has identified significant savings worth about EUR2 billion, or about 20% of its total fixed costs, in the next three years from non-core asset sales and the alignment of its global production footprint to its revised expectations for the automotive market and its own sales. It said that it will detail the cost and timeframe of its programme in May 2020 but the pandemic could change the agenda and scope of the plan. We are also cautious that renewed synergies with Nissan could take longer than expected to accrue from relationships on the mend and from heavy resistance from some stakeholders to cost-cutting.

Difficult Compliance with Emission Targets: Despite its advantage of being an early entrant on the electric vehicle (EV) market, Renault still has to fill a material gap to its 2020 CO2 targets to avoid heavy fines. To meet these targets, we expect acceleration of EV sales, but potentially at a loss, as well as substantial additional investments, including in hybrid powertrains, which Renault has so far largely overlooked. Furthermore, we expect COVID-19-related measures to disrupt EV production and availability in 2020 and hinder some crucial model development.

Regulation Potentially on Hold: The evolution of the product mix is difficult to forecast and the immediate sales recovery could be focused on smaller, more fuel-efficient models supporting Renault's average CO2 emissions. Likewise, regulatory response is hard to anticipate at this point. European regulators have so far taken a hard stance towards lowering fuel emissions but exceptional circumstances may warrant special derogations for carmakers, depending on the duration and magnitude of the crisis.

Disruptive Management Changes: The departure of CEO and Chairman Carlos Ghosn has opened up a period of turbulence at senior management level. A new Chairman was appointed in early 2019, who focused on stabilising the relationship between Renault and Nissan. A new CEO has also been chosen in early 2020 but will join the group only in July 2020, after the restructuring plan has been announced. Various other senior managers have also left the company. We expect the new management team to bring some balance to the group but this could be drawn-out.

Strained Alliance: While Renault's scale remains modest on a standalone basis, compared with large international peers such as Volkswagen and Toyota, it can derive material synergies from its alliance with Nissan, which was completed by Mitsubishi recently. This is an important advantage for new powertrains and autonomous driving technologies but which we believe has not been leveraged to its full potential. Investigations into Carlos Ghosn have triggered some turmoil between the two partners and disrupted several joint projects. Nonetheless, we believe that the new management at Nissan and Renault will bring stability, having agreed to coordinate strategies and name leaders for regions and technologies.

Effect from ESG Factors: Renault has an ESG Relevance Score of 4 for GHG Emissions & Air Quality and Governance Structure. The group is facing stringent emission regulation, notably in Europe, which is its main market. Investments in lower emission are a key driver of the group's strategy and cash generation. The Governance Structure score reflects the complex shareholding structure, including the partial

ownership of the French State and cross-shareholdings with Nissan. The complicated relationship was recently illustrated by the developments surrounding merger discussions between Renault and FCA, which ultimately failed.

Derivation Summary

On a standalone basis, Renault is smaller than General Motors Company (GM, BBB/Stable), Ford Motor Company (BBB-/Negative) and Hyundai Motor Company (BBB+/Stable), but Renault's alliance with Nissan, extended to Mitsubishi Motors, provides it with a capacity for substantial economies of scale and synergies, although we believe that these synergies have not yet delivered their full potential.

Renault's brand positioning is moderately weaker than US peers'. Nonetheless, we believe Renault's relative position should incorporate Dacia, which despite not having a high brand value and leading market shares, enhances product and geographic diversification and is a healthy contribution to profitability. Compared with Hyundai and Kia Motors Corporation (BBB+/Stable), we see a much closer comparison in competitiveness and brand positioning.

Renault's financial profile has deteriorated compared with 'BBB' global automotive manufacturers. Renault's automotive operating and FCF margins are now expected to be lower than GM's, Ford's, PSA's and FCA's. In addition, FFO-adjusted net leverage is also expected to be higher than these peers'. No country-ceiling, parent/subsidiary or operating environment aspects impact the rating.

Key Assumptions

Fitch's Key Assumptions Within Our Rating Case for the Issuer

- High single-digit decline in global light vehicle volumes in 2020, some recovery in 2021 and very low single-digit growth over the medium term;
- Industrial operations revenue declining 9% to 10% in 2020, and recovering gradually through to 2023;
- Automotive operating margin (including Avtovaz) turning negative in 2020 and 1% to 1.5% in 2021, before improving toward 3% in 2022. Group margin falling below 2% in 2020 and 4% in 2021, before recovering to approximately 5% in 2022;
- Capex on average at about 7.1% of industrial sales between 2020 and 2023, after peaking at 9.6% in 2019;
- Dividend payment of around EUR0.3 billion in 2020 and none in 2021; and
- No material acquisitions or disposals.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Developments That May, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Positive Rating Action, although an upgrade is unlikely until the global environment has normalised.

- Group operating margin recovering to 3% (2019: 4.8%, 2020E: 1.5%).
- FCF margin recovering to 1% (2019: -1.8%, 2020E: -5.5%).

- Cash flow from operations (CFO)/lease-adjusted debt above 30% (2019: 30%, 2020E: 11%).

Developments That May, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Negative Rating Action

- Increasing risk of deteriorating liquidity.
- Group operating margin below 2%.
- FCF margin remaining negative by 2022.
- CFO/lease-adjusted debt below 20%.
- FFO-adjusted net leverage above 2x (2019: 1.3x, 2020E: 2.6x).
- Material negative changes to the benefits derived from the Nissan alliance.

Liquidity and Debt Structure

Working Capital to Affect Liquidity: We expect negative working capital developments in 2Q20 to strain liquidity. Renault had EUR9.9 billion in trade payables at its automotive operations at end-2019, which were partly covered by EUR1.3 billion in trade receivables. Further coverage was provided by EUR13.5 billion of reported cash and cash equivalents and financial assets for industrial operations at end-2019, before Fitch's adjustments for minimum operational cash of about EUR1.3 billion and not readily available financial assets. In addition, undrawn committed credit lines of EUR3.5 billion are available at the automotive division. Renault has a record of maintaining a prudent financial policy, including a reported net cash position and availability under revolving credit lines of at least 20% of revenue.

Diversified Debt Structure: The debt structure at Renault is diversified and consists mainly of euro- and yen-denominated unsecured bonds. The notes' maturities are well-spread from July 2020 to October 2027. The group has also raised debt through bank credit lines, including at the level of its subsidiaries. For its short-term financial needs, the group has direct access to EUR3.5 billion of undrawn revolving credit facilities and recourse to a EUR2.5 billion euro commercial paper programme, of which EUR0.6 billion was used at end-2019. It can also use account receivables factoring (several receivables securitisation programmes in different countries) to fund its working capital needs.

Summary of Financial Adjustments

Fitch adjusts leverage metrics for financial services operations.

Fitch calculated a target debt-to-equity ratio of 5x for Renault Banque (RCI Banque), below the actual ratio of 8.8x at end-2019.

Fitch assumed that Renault makes a EUR3.5 billion equity injection into RCI Banque, to bring its debt-to-equity ratio down to 5x.

ESG Considerations

Renault: Governance Structure: 4, GHG Emissions & Air Quality: 4

Renault has an ESG Relevance Score of 4 for GHG Emissions & Air Quality as Renault is facing stringent emission regulation, notably in Europe which is its main market. Investments in lower emission are a key driver of the group's strategy and cash generation and this is therefore relevant to the rating in conjunction with other factors.

Renault has an ESG Relevance Score of 4 for Governance Structure, reflecting the complex shareholding structure, including the partial ownership of the French State and cross-shareholdings with Nissan. The complicated relationship has been illustrated recently by the developments surrounding merger discussions between Renault and FCA, which ultimately failed.

Except for the matters discussed above, the highest level of ESG credit relevance, if present, is a score of 3. This means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or to the way in which they are being managed by the entity.

RATING ACTIONS

ENTITY/DEBT	RATING	PRIOR
Renault SA	LT IDR BB+  Downgrade	BBB- 
senior unsecured	LT BB+ Downgrade	BBB-

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

FITCH RATINGS ANALYSTS

Primary Rating Analyst
Emmanuel Bulle
Senior Director
+34 93 323 8411
Fitch Ratings Espana. S.A.U.
Av. Diagonal 601
Barcelona 08028

Secondary Rating Analyst
Aurelien Jacquot, CFA
Associate Director
+33 1 44 29 91 37

Committee Chairperson
Paul Lund
Senior Director
+44 20 3530 1244

MEDIA CONTACTS

Adrian Simpson
London
+44 20 3530 1010
adrian.simpson@thefitchgroup.com

Applicable Criteria

Corporate Rating Criteria (pub. 19 Feb 2019)

Corporates Notching and Recovery Ratings Criteria (pub. 14 Oct 2019)

Additional Disclosures

Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form

Solicitation Status

Endorsement Policy

DISCLAIMER

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK: [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings). IN ADDITION, THE FOLLOWING <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/dam/jcr:6b03c4cd-611d-47ec-b8f1-183c01b51b08/Rating%20Definitions%20-%203%20May%202019%20v3%206-11-19.pdf> DETAILS FITCH'S RATING DEFINITIONS FOR EACH RATING SCALE AND RATING CATEGORIES, INCLUDING DEFINITIONS RELATING TO DEFAULT. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE AVAILABLE AT [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/SITE/REGULATORY](https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory). FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH WEBSITE.

COPYRIGHT

Copyright © 2020 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete.

Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001

Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

SOLICITATION STATUS

The ratings above were solicited and assigned or maintained at the request of the rated entity/issuer or a related third party. Any exceptions follow below.

Endorsement Policy

Fitch's approach to ratings endorsement so that ratings produced outside the EU may be used by regulated entities within the EU for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU Regulation with respect to credit rating agencies, can be found on the EU Regulatory Disclosures page. The endorsement status of all International ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for all structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.

Fitch Updates Terms of Use & Privacy Policy

We have updated our Terms of Use and Privacy Policies which cover all of Fitch Group's websites. [Learn more.](#)